UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,419	10/24/2005	Axel Huegle	2003P06043WOUS	5176
27799 7590 05/12/2010 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP 551 FIFTH AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, MATTHEW J	
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, N	NY 10176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/554,419	HUEGLE ET AL.
	Art Unit
Matthew J. Smith	3635

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 15 March 2010.		
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following		
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.		
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or fit the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.			
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal be brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal of from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.		
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: <u>29</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>20</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-19 and</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from core			
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by		
•	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.		
All participants:			
(1) Matthew J. Smith, examiner.	(3) <u>Marc Jimenez,TQAS</u> .		
(2) Richard E. Chilcot, SPE.	(4)		
/M. J. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3635	/Richard E. Chilcot, Jr./ /Marc Jimenez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635		